FILED United States Court of Appeals Tenth Circuit

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT

April 18, 2017

Elisabeth A. Shumaker Clerk of Court

WILLIAM P. ZANDER,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

KNIGHT TRANSPORTATION, INC.; GLEN PALMER, JASON JONES, SHAWN BELL, KEVIN PREWITT, MICHAEL HITCHCOCK, DAVID SHOBE, individually and as employees of Knight Transportation, Inc.,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 16-3018 (D.C. No. 5:13-CV-04016-KHV-GLR) (D. Kan.)

ORDER AND JUDGMENT*

Before MATHESON, McKAY, and O'BRIEN, Circuit Judges.

William P. Zander has filed this frivolous pro se appeal challenging the district court's dismissal of his employment action and imposition of \$1,000.00 in attorney's fees as a sanction for his noncompliance with discovery orders. The original discovery deadline was November 22, 2013, but via misconduct and dilatory tactics,

^{*} After examining the briefs and appellate record, this panel has determined unanimously that oral argument would not materially assist in the determination of this appeal. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); 10th Cir. R. 34.1(G). The case is therefore ordered submitted without oral argument. This order and judgment is not binding precedent, except under the doctrines of law of the case, res judicata, and collateral estoppel. It may be cited, however, for its persuasive value consistent with Fed. R. App. P. 32.1 and 10th Cir. R. 32.1.

Mr. Zander delayed the date of his deposition until May 27, 2015. On that date, he still failed to appear, despite multiple judicial directives to submit to a deposition, a previous sanction of \$500.00, and repeated warnings that noncompliance with discovery could result in dismissal. Consequently, a magistrate judge issued a report evaluating the *Ehrenhaus* factors¹ and recommending the case be dismissed with prejudice and attorney's fees of \$1,000.00 be imposed for Mr. Zander's noncompliance. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(b)(2)(A)(v), (d)(3). On de novo review, the district court entered an order adopting the recommendation in its entirety.

Mr. Zander has now filed an incomprehensible brief on appeal, which is attached to this order and judgment. He indicates "the courts rigged everything," and his "printer broke so [he] know[s] the corruption of the court." Aplt. Br. at 1. He also says someone perpetrated a fraud on the court, and he concludes with vague political and race-based remarks. We afford these pro se comments a liberal construction, but Mr. Zander has forfeited appellate review by failing to articulate any coherent argument supported by adequate legal authority. See Garrett v. Selby Connor Maddux & Janer, 425 F.3d 836, 840-41 (10th Cir. 2005); see also Bronson v. Swensen, 500 F.3d 1099, 1104 (10th Cir. 2007) ("[W]e routinely have declined to consider arguments that are not raised, or are inadequately presented, in an

¹ See Ehrenhaus v. Reynolds, 965 F.2d 916, 921 (10th Cir. 1992).

appellant's opening brief."). Therefore, the district court's judgment is affirmed.

Entered for the Court

Terrence L. O'Brien Circuit Judge

APPRINCESSE 165018 DEGINERAL OUT PROGRESS DEGINERAL OF PROGRESS DESCRIPTION OF STERRESS OF UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS TO USIN Fem. SIL. ZANDAR Case No. 16-3018 Plaintiff/Petitioner - Appellant, ٧. Appellant's Opening Brief MAGUS TRANSPORTATION NOTICE AND INSTRUCTIONS RIGGED TENERY FHING al pro se, the court will Defendant/Respondent - Appellee.

If you proceed on appeal pro se, the court will accept a properly completed Form A-12 in lieu of a formal brief. This form is intended to guide you in presenting your appellate issues and arguments to the court. If you need more space, additional pages may be attached. A short statement of each issue presented for review should precede your argument. Citations to legal authority may also be included. This brief should fully set forth all of the arguments that you wish the court to consider in connection with this case.

New issues raised for the first time on appeal generally will not be considered. An appeal is not a retrial but rather a <u>review</u> of the proceedings in the district court. A copy of the completed form must be served on all opposing counsel and on all unrepresented parties and a proper certificate of service furnished to this court. A form certificate is attached and on the court of the court

ATTERISED MAILMOND PLAINCIPE PRINTER

CERTIFIED MAILMOND PLAINCIPE PRINTER

CERTIFIED MAILMOND PLAINCIPE SO I KNOW CITE

CORRUTION OF THE COURT

CORRUTION OF THE COURT

SO THIS IS ALVI CAN

SOUTH ANWSER BACK

APPELLANT/PETITIONER'S OPENING BRIEF

1. Statement of the Case. (This should be a <u>brief</u> summary of the proceedings in the district court.)

	FRAND ON TAK COURS AND RULK 601
	100000 DIRECT PART PROOF PEANUS AMS LAW SUDER & INUXXIERCON
	DEATHOR AMS LAW SUDER & INVESTIGACON
2.	C4-4
	Statement of Facts Relevant to the Issues Presented for Review. CRORAL RULK 60B AND FRAUD ON THE COURT
	FARTE COMUNICATION WITH THE
	JUDGE. NE HURW ABOUT THE
	CRIME PLAINTIME NAS DIL TIB
	OF HARAIT TO FROME 15
	RUSNFELT. RIGGED SANCTION
	TO COUPER UP CRIMES

APPELLANT/PETITIONER'S OPENING BRIEF

1. Statement of the Case. (This should be a <u>brief</u> summary of the proceedings in the district court.)

FRAND ON TAR COURT AND RULE 60'E RIGGED OHSD AND DEFENDENTS DRE NABBIEURI 21ARS

2. Statement of Facts Relevant to the Issues Presented for Review.

FORPARTE COMUNICATION WITH THE JUDGE. NE. HURW ABOUT THE CRIME PLAINTING WAS DIL TUB OBOUMENT'S TO FROME 15 1000 DIRRET FILENC COMPLAINT AGAINST THERER SUDGES RUSHFIELT ROGIERS URATIO SUPISCAL

AND CORRUPTION

- 3. Statement of Issues.
 - First Issue: a.

FRAUD RICLE OSHA ENVIEST. CATION

Argument and Authorities:

DIRECT KUIDIENKE UNITED STAFIES US PATIES

Second Issue: b.

KERUSA

PLANS DETSRUYIED

Argument and Authorities:

PERISA PERAND

DIRKET KULDENCK

4. Do you think the district court applied the wrong law? If so, what law do you want applied?
YES KRAWD
5. Did the district court incorrectly decide the facts? If so, what facts?
BK PARTIE COMMA, EATION
6. Did the district court fail to consider important grounds for relief? If so, what
Grounds? YES ERAND DIRECT EUDENAN
7. Do you feel that there are any other reasons why the district court's judgment was wrong? If so, what?
FRAUD DIRRET BUIDANCH
8. What action do you want this court to take in your case?
OVER TURN THIS POD LEC AMS JUAGI
WANDUR OSHA
9. Do you think the court should hear oral argument in this case? If so, why?
YES FRAUD AND SPOIL REFIOND ACAUS PAKSIDENCE OF THE STATE OF THE STAT
Pate Signature Sur
$^{\prime}$

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
I hereby certify that on 3/27) 6 I served a copy of (date)
the Appellant/Petitioner's Opening Brief to
(Opposing Party or Attorney)
, the last known address/email
address, by MAIL (state method of service) FZ MAIL, Com
3/22-7 / 6 Date Signature Signature

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I certify that the total number of pages I am submitting as my Appellant/Petitioner's Opening Brief is 30 pages or less or alternatively, if the total number of pages exceeds 30, I certify that I have counted the number of words and the total is , which is less than 14,000. I understand that if my Appellant/Petitioner's Opening Brief exceeds 14,000 words, my brief may be stricken and the appeal dismissed.

Signature

	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
	I hereby certify that on ABS 25 16 I served a copy of
	the Appellant/Petitioner's Opening Brief to // to
F	OSTNERU , at DEN/C Pro
	(Opposing Party or Attorney) , the last known address/email
	address, by (state method of service)
0	3/22-7 / 6 Signature FED
	PLRING NAS 12-RAND DIND GO BIS

I certify that the total number of pages I am submitting as my

Appellant/Petitioner's Opening Brief is 30 pages or less or alternatively, if the total number of pages exceeds 30, I certify that I have counted the number of words and the total is , which is less than 14,000. I understand that if my Appellant/Petitioner's Opening Brief exceeds 14,000 words, my brief may be stricken and the appeal dismissed.

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

Signature



NO PO BOX

ELDR-RDR U5621607533 HPT-RL CODENSES MOF 38 06:16:31 28: P. BLACK S. 5MG **15000** NO DIRECCION DE

8 92168 0001

1:5E

DENVER CO 80257

Call 1-800-PICK-UPS (1-800-742-5877) or visit UPS .com

- 1. Fill in Address completely. UPS will NOT de to PO BOX addresses.
- 2. Retain shipper's copy (middle copy) of label your records and package tracking number. Let UPS copy (top) attached to package.
- 3. Drop in the nearest TripPak EXPRESS arop box, conveniently located at most major truck nationwide.

For Express shipments only within the 48 contiguous United States. Fl TripPak Drop Box locations and package tracking: pegasustranstech.co

Llámenos 1-800-PICK-UPS® (1-800-742-5877) o visite UPS®.com

mente. UPS NO realiza entregas a dire Llene la dirección complete de PO BOX. 2. Retener la copia del remitente (La copia de en medio) de la etique número de identificación del paquete para su archivo. Deje la copia (La copia original) adjunta al paquete 3. Deposite en el TripPak EXPRESS® buzón más cercano, localizados para los 48 estados contiguos de los Estados Unidos. Para localizar los PEGASUS TRANSTECH

Do NOT send cash, cash equivalent or jewelry. Limitation of lift UPS® TARIFFTERMS AND CONDITIONS OF SERVICE F PACKAGE SHIPMENTS IN THE UNITED STATES.

No enviar efectivo, equivalentes de efectivo o joyería. Limitaciones de responsabilidad: TARIFF/TERMINOS Y CONDICIONES POR SERVICIO DE ENVIO DE PAQUETES

UPS, 1-800-PICK-UPS and UPS.COM are trademarks that are used with permission by its owner, United Parcel Service of America, Inc. All rights reserved. UPS, 1-800-PICK-UPS y UPS.COM son marcas registradas unitzadas con el permiso de su propietação, United Parcel Service of America, Inc. Todos las derechos reservados.

405-6941-1927 095EF4 095EF4 V TELEPHONE TELEPHONE ズグンジ REFERENCE NUMBER **EXPIRATION DATE** 01/21/17 Saver® Shipping Document PREPAID LETTER Saver **IRACKING NUMBER UPS Next Day Air** 02019525412 1/05 MW United Parcel Service, Louisville, KY U562 160 **UPS Next Day Air** Sdn 276 主口。 9