Appellate Case: 15-6194 Document: 01019572721 Date Filed: 02/18/2016 Page: 1

FILED United States Court of Appeals Tenth Circuit

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT

February 18, 2016

Elisabeth A. Shumaker Clerk of Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

JUAN JAQUEZ,

No. 15-6194 (D.C. No. 5:08-CR-00145-C-11) (W.D. Okla.)

Defendant - Appellant.

ORDER AND JUDGMENT*

Before **KELLY**, **HOLMES**, and **MORITZ**, Circuit Judges.

Juan Jaquez was convicted of conspiring to possess five or more kilograms of cocaine with the intent to distribute, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and 846. He is currently serving a 120-month prison term—the minimum sentence mandated for his conviction by 21 U.S.C. § 841(b)(1)(A)(ii)(II).

Proceeding pro se, Jaquez filed a motion for a reduced sentence under 18

U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) based on his belief that Amendment 782 to the Guidelines

lowered his applicable sentencing range. The district court appointed counsel to assist

^{*}After examining the briefs and appellate record, this panel has determined unanimously to honor the parties' request for a decision on the briefs without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(f); 10th Cir. R. 34.1(G). The case is therefore submitted without oral argument. This order and judgment is not binding precedent, except under the doctrines of law of the case, res judicata, and collateral estoppel. It may be cited, however, for its persuasive value. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 32.1; 10th Cir. R. 32.1.

Appellate Case: 15-6194 Document: 01019572721 Date Filed: 02/18/2016 Page: 2

Jaquez and, through counsel, Jaquez acknowledged his ineligibility for relief under

Amendment 782. The district court then denied Jaquez' motion, concluding it lacked

authority to reduce his sentence because Amendment 782 didn't alter the minimum

sentence mandated by statute. See United States v. Smartt, 129 F.3d 539, 542 (10th

Cir. 1997) (finding defendant ineligible for reduced sentence under § 3582(c)(2)

because Guidelines amendment didn't alter statute mandating his minimum

sentence).

Because Jaquez doesn't challenge the correctness of that ruling and filed this

appeal only to preserve this issue in the event of future changes in the law, we affirm.

Entered for the Court

Nancy L. Moritz Circuit Judge

2