Appellate Case: 14-6151 Document: 01019329735

Date Filed: 10/23/2014 EDPage: 1 United States Court of Appeals Tenth Circuit

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS October 23, 2014

TENTH CIRCUIT

Elisabeth A. Shumaker Clerk of Court

MICHAEL S. GORBEY,

Petitioner - Appellant,

v.

WARDEN OF THE FEDERAL TRANSFER CENTER, Oklahoma,

Respondent - Appellee.

No. 14-6151 W.D. Oklahoma (D.C. No. 5:14-CV-00163-HE)

ORDER AND JUDGMENT*

Before KELLY, ANDERSON, and BACHARACH, Circuit Judges.

After examining the briefs and appellate record, this panel has determined unanimously that oral argument would not materially assist in the determination of this appeal. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); 10th Cir. R. 34.1(G). The case is therefore ordered submitted without oral argument.

^{*}This order and judgment is not binding precedent, except under the doctrines of law of the case, res judicata, and collateral estoppel. The court generally disfavors the citation of orders and judgments; nevertheless, an order and judgment may be cited under the terms and conditions of 10th Cir. R. 32.1.

Petitioner and Appellant, Michael S. Gorbey, proceeding *pro se*, appeals the district court's dismissal of his petition for habeas relief under 28 U.S.C. § 2241. He is serving a 254-month sentence for convictions of multiple firearms and weapons-related offenses. <u>Gorbey v. United States</u>, 54 A.3d 668 (D.C. 2012). He appealed his convictions and sentence to the District of Columbia Court of Appeals, which affirmed his convictions in part, and remanded the case back to the trial court for further proceedings, which resulted in the current sentence.

Among multiple other filings and proceedings, Mr. Gorbey filed the instant petition, subsequently amended. At the time he filed the petition, Mr. Gorbey was in the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons ("BOP") and temporarily confined at the Federal Transfer Center in Oklahoma City Oklahoma. He was transferred in March 2013 to the District of Columbia jail. Mr. Gorbey avers that he has been assigned by BOP to the United States Penitentiary in McCreary, Kentucky, and the district court stated that his latest filings, as well as the BOP inmate locator confirm that fact.

The magistrate judge to whom this matter was referred explained why Mr. Gorbey's petition must be dismissed:

"It is well established that jurisdiction attaches on the initial filing for habeas corpus relief, and it is not destroyed by a transfer of the petitioner and the accompanying custodial change." <u>Santillanes</u> <u>v. U.S. Parole Comm'n</u>, 754 F.2d 887, 888 (10th Cir. 1985). So, Petitioner's transfer to USP McCreary did not divest this Court of jurisdiction over the petition, nor did it destroy the Court's jurisdiction over the FTC Warden. But, once Petitioner was transferred, the FTC Warden became powerless to effect any relief this Court might order. . . . And because this Court lacks jurisdiction over Petitioner's Kentucky custodian, and thus has no way to enforce any "effectual relief," Petitioner's habeas petition is moot.

Report & Recommendation at 2; R. Vol. 1 at 89 (further citations omitted).

Mr. Gorbey filed objections, which the district court reviewed. The court

adopted the Report & Recommendation, and dismissed the petition. The district

court then denied Mr. Gorbey's motion to reconsider. This appeal followed.

For substantially the reasons stated by the district court, we AFFIRM the

district court's dismissal of Mr. Gorbey's petition and the motion to reconsider.

AFFIRMED.

ENTERED FOR THE COURT

Stephen H. Anderson Circuit Judge