

862, 867 (10th Cir. 2000). To obtain a certificate of appealability, Petitioner must make a “substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right.” 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2006). To meet this burden, Petitioner must demonstrate “that reasonable jurists could debate whether (or, for that matter, agree that) the petition should have been resolved in a different manner or that the issues presented were adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further.” *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000) (internal quotation marks omitted).

In his brief to this court, Petitioner does not make a substantial showing that he was denied his constitutional rights. He merely reiterates the arguments he made in the state courts and at the federal district court level, which were correctly rejected for the reasons stated by those courts.

We conclude the district court correctly denied Petitioner’s habeas petition. Nothing in Petitioner’s arguments convinces us he has met our standard for issuing a certificate of appealability. For substantially the reasons set forth in the magistrate judge’s report and adopted by the district court, we **DENY** Petitioner’s request for a certificate of appealability and **DISMISS** the appeal.

Entered for the Court

Monroe G. McKay
Circuit Judge