Appellate Case: 25-1260 Document: 31 Date Filed: 12/03/2025 Page: 1

FILED United States Court of Appeals Tenth Circuit

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT

December 3, 2025

Christopher M. Wolpert Clerk of Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

MERLIN CABRERA-IRIAS, a/k/a George,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 25-1260 (D.C. No. 1:23-CR-00421-RMR-1) (D. Colo.)

ORDER AND JUDGMENT*

Before HARTZ, KELLY, and MORITZ, Circuit Judges.

Merlin Cabrera-Irias pleaded guilty to one count of conspiracy to distribute and possess with intent to distribute 40 grams or more of a mixture or substance containing fentanyl, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and 846. The district court sentenced him to 96 months in prison, which was below both the statutory maximum of 40 years and the advisory Sentencing Guidelines range of 97 to 121 months. Mr. Cabrera-Irias seeks to appeal his conviction and sentence.

The government filed a motion to enforce the appeal waiver contained in his plea agreement. See United States v. Hahn, 359 F.3d 1315, 1328 (10th Cir. 2004)

^{*} This order and judgment is not binding precedent, except under the doctrines of law of the case, res judicata, and collateral estoppel. It may be cited, however, for its persuasive value consistent with Fed. R. App. P. 32.1 and 10th Cir. R. 32.1.

Appellate Case: 25-1260 Document: 31 Date Filed: 12/03/2025 Page: 2

(en banc) (per curiam). Mr. Cabrera-Irias's counsel responded, saying it would be frivolous to oppose the government's motion. *See Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 744 (1967). Consistent with the procedure outlined in *Anders*, we invited Mr. Cabrera-Irias to file his own response to show why we should not enforce the appeal waiver, but he has not done so.

Under these circumstances, our duty is to examine the record and decide whether the appeal is indeed frivolous. *See id.* We will enforce an appeal waiver if (1) the appeal falls within the waiver's scope, (2) the defendant knowingly and voluntarily waived the right to appeal, and (3) enforcing the waiver will not result in a miscarriage of justice. *See Hahn*, 359 F.3d at 1325. All three considerations support enforcing the waiver here.

Scope of the waiver. Mr. Cabrera-Irias seeks to appeal his conviction and sentence, but he waived the right to appeal unless his sentence exceeded statutory maximum, his sentence exceeded the top end of the Sentencing Guidelines range, or the government appealed the sentence imposed. Because his sentence was below both the statutory maximum and the Sentencing Guidelines range, and the government did not appeal the sentence, Mr. Cabrera-Irias's waiver covers this appeal.

Knowing and voluntary waiver. The plea agreement and the plea colloquy show that Mr. Cabrera-Irias knowingly and voluntarily waived his right to appeal.

Miscarriage of justice. Enforcing an appeal waiver will result in a miscarriage of justice only if: (1) the district court relied on an impermissible factor, such as

Appellate Case: 25-1260 Document: 31 Date Filed: 12/03/2025 Page: 3

race; (2) ineffective assistance of counsel in negotiating the waiver makes it invalid;

(3) the sentence exceeds the statutory maximum; or (4) the waiver is otherwise

unlawful in a way that seriously affects the fairness, integrity, or public reputation of

the proceedings. See id. at 1327. Nothing in the record suggests that enforcing the

appeal waiver will cause a miscarriage of justice.

We grant the government's motion to enforce the appeal waiver and dismiss

this appeal.

Entered for the Court

Per Curiam