Appellate Case: 22-3018 Document: 48 Date Filed: 10/22/2025 Page: 1

FILED United States Court of Appeals Tenth Circuit

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT

October 22, 2025

Christopher M. Wolpert Clerk of Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

SCOTT L. MEINERT,

Defendant - Appellant.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

DAVID J. LOUGEE,

Defendant - Appellant.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

TYRSSVERD RAVEN HARSSFELL,

Defendant - Appellant.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. 22-3018 (D.C. Nos. 2:19-CV-02491-JAR-JPO, 2:18-CV-02455-JAR-JPO, 2:14-CR-20035-JAR-1) (D. Kan.)

No. 22-3020 (D.C. Nos. 2:19-CV-02491-JAR-JPO, 2:19-CV-02226-JAR-JPO, 2:14-CR-20068-DDC-5) (D. Kan.)

No. 22-3021 (D.C. Nos. 2:19-CV-02491-JAR-JPO, 2:19-CV-02722-JAR-JPO, 2:14-CR-20134-JAR-1) (D. Kan.) Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

JEROME RICHARD BIRDSONG, II,

Defendant - Appellant.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

JESUS GALVAN-CAMPOS,

Defendant - Appellant.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

CHARLES LEONHARDT HAUPT,

Defendant - Appellant.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

MICHAEL C. REDIFER,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 22-3022 (D.C. Nos. 2:19-CV-02491-JAR-JPO, 2:19-CV-02406-JAR, 2:15-CR-20045-JAR-1) (D. Kan.)

No. 22-3023 (D.C. Nos. 2:19-CV-02491-JAR-JPO, 2:19-CV-02055-JAR, 2:14-CR-20068-JAR-2) (D. Kan.)

No. 22-3024 (D.C. Nos. 2:19-CV-02491-JAR-JPO, 2:18-CV-02423-JAR-JPO, 2:15-CR-20019-JAR-1) (D. Kan.)

No. 22-3026 (D.C. Nos. 2:19-CV-02491-JAR-JPO, 2:19-CV-02594-JAR-JPO, 2:12-CR-20003-JAR-10) (D. Kan.) Appellate Case: 22-3018 Document: 48 Date Filed: 10/22/2025 Page: 3

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

JOSHUA L. MCDANIEL,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 22-3027 (D.C. Nos. 2:19-CV-02491-JAR-JPO, 2:19-CV-02145-JAR-JPO, 2:15-CR-20050-JAR-1) (D. Kan.)

ORDER DENYING CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY*

Before **HOLMES**, Chief Judge, **MATHESON**, and **EID**, Circuit Judges.

These matters are before us on the Appellants' *Unopposed Motion to Summarily Resolve Appeals*, wherein they each request a certificate of appealability (COA) on the following issue:

Did the district court err when it concluded that the Sixth Amendment is *not* violated unless the defendant proves prejudice when a prosecutor intentionally and unjustifiably intrudes on the defendant's confidential attorney-client communications after the defendant is convicted but before the defendant is sentenced?

Appellants each acknowledge that, absent a COA, these appeals must be dismissed. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c). They also acknowledges that this court is bound by both *United States*

* This order is not binding precedent except under the doctrines of law of the case, res judicata, and collateral estoppel. It may be cited, however, for its persuasive value consistent with Fed. R. App. P. 32.1 and 10th Cir. R. 32.1.

Appellate Case: 22-3018 Document: 48 Date Filed: 10/22/2025 Page: 4

v. Orduno-Ramirez, 61 F.4th 1263 (10th Cir. 2023) and United States v. Hohn, 123 F.4th 1084 (10th Cir. 2024) to deny the requested certificates of appealability.

Upon consideration, the abatement of these matters is lifted. Each appeal shall proceed on the preliminary record already on file. Appellants' requests for a COA are foreclosed by *Orduno-Ramirez* and *Hohn*. Accordingly, we deny a COA for each appeal and dismiss these matters.

Entered for the Court

CHRISTOPHER M. WOLPERT, Clerk