

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE
TENTH CIRCUIT**

IN RE: CHARGE OF JUDICIAL
MISCONDUCT

No. 10-09-90072

Before **HENRY**, Chief Judge.

ORDER

Complainant has filed a complaint of judicial misconduct against a magistrate judge in this circuit. My consideration of this complaint is governed by 1) the misconduct rules issued by the Judicial Conference of the United States, entitled *Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings* (the “Misconduct Rules”); 2) the federal statute dealing with judicial misconduct, 28 U.S.C. § 351 *et seq.*, and 3) the “Breyer Report,” a study by the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act Study Committee, headed by Supreme Court Justice Stephen Breyer, entitled *Implementation of the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980*. The Breyer Report may be found at: <http://www.supremecourt.us.gov/publicinfo/breyercommitteereport.pdf>. To the extent that any relevant prior decisions of the full Judicial Council of this circuit consistent with those authorities exist, they may also govern my consideration of this complaint.

Complainant has received or has access to a copy of the Misconduct Rules. In accord with those rules, the names of the complainant and subject judge shall not be disclosed in this order. *See* Misconduct Rule 11(g)(2).

Complainant takes issue with orders and rulings from the subject judge. These claims are not cognizable as misconduct because they are “directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling.” Misconduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B). As explained in the Breyer Report, this exclusion of matters related to the merits of underlying cases protects the independence of the judges deciding those cases. *See* Breyer Report, App. E., ¶ 2.

Complainant also alleges that the judge is biased, is harassing complainant, and issued the orders in question in retaliation for previous matters, including a case that was reversed on appeal. Allegations of bias can state a valid claim for misconduct even when the bias relates to a judge’s ruling, *see* Commentary to Misconduct Rule 3, but these claims fail because they are completely unsupported. The Misconduct Rules require complainants to support their allegations with “sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred.” *See* Misconduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D). Other than the judge’s orders and rulings, complainant has offered no evidence to support the allegations of improper motive.

Accordingly, this complaint is dismissed pursuant to Misconduct Rule 11(c). The Circuit Executive is directed to transmit this order to complainant and copies to the respondent judge and the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability. *See* Misconduct Rule 11(g)(2). To seek review of this order, complainant must file a petition for review by the Judicial Council. The

requirements for filing a petition for review are set out in Misconduct Rule 18(b). The petition must be filed with the Office of the Circuit Executive within 35 days of the date of the letter transmitting this order. *Id.*

So ordered this 21st day of December, 2009.

/s/ Robert H. Henry

Honorable Robert H. Henry
Chief Circuit Judge