

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE
TENTH CIRCUIT**

IN RE: CHARGE OF JUDICIAL
MISCONDUCT

Nos. 10-08-90075 through
10-08-90077

Before **HENRY**, Chief Judge.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Complainant has filed a complaint of judicial misconduct against two circuit judges and one magistrate judge in this circuit. My consideration of this complaint is governed by 1) the misconduct rules issued by the Judicial Conference of the United States, entitled *Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings*; 2) the federal statute dealing with judicial misconduct, 28 U.S.C. § 351 *et seq.*, and 3) the “Breyer Report,” a study by the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act Study Committee, headed by Supreme Court Justice Stephen Breyer, entitled *Implementation of the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980*. The Breyer Report may be found at: <http://www.supremecourtus.gov/publicinfo/breyercommitteereport.pdf>. To the extent that any relevant prior decisions of the full Judicial Council of this circuit consistent with those authorities exist, they may also govern my consideration of this complaint.

Complainant has received or has access to a copy of the misconduct rules. In accord with those rules, the names of the complainant and subject judge shall not be disclosed in this order. *See* Misconduct Rule 11(g)(2).

Complainant sets out a lengthy description of the merits of cases complainant previously filed in district court. To the extent that complainant seeks relief on the merits of these cases, which were dismissed, complainant may not use these misconduct procedures for that purpose. These claims are not cognizable as misconduct because they are “directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling.” Misconduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B). As explained in the Breyer Report, this exclusion of matters related to the merits of underlying cases protects the independence of the judges deciding those cases. *See* Breyer Report, App. E., ¶ 2.

Complainant’s contentions against the subject judges are neither clear nor specific. Complainant alleges fraud and that the law was distorted “with illegal precedents.” To the extent that complainant may be trying to set out claims other than those involved with the merits of past cases, these claims lack any factual support. The misconduct rules are clear that claims must be dismissed if they “are based on allegations lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred.” Misconduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

Accordingly, this complaint is dismissed pursuant to Misconduct Rule 11(c). The Circuit Executive is directed to transmit this order to complainant and copies to the respondent judges and the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability. *See* Misconduct Rule 11(g)(2). To seek review of this order, complainant must file a petition for review by the Judicial Council.

The requirements for filing a petition for review are set out in Misconduct Rule 18(b). The petition must be filed with the Office of the Circuit Executive within 35 days of the date of the letter transmitting this order. *Id.*

So ordered this 20th day of October, 2008.

/s/ Robert H. Henry

Honorable Robert H. Henry
Chief Circuit Judge