

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE
TENTH CIRCUIT**

IN RE: COMPLAINT UNDER THE
JUDICIAL CONDUCT AND
DISABILITY ACT

Nos. 10-18-90033 & 10-18-90034

Before **TYMKOVICH**, Chief Judge

ORDER

Complainant has filed a complaint of judicial misconduct against a district judge and a magistrate judge in this circuit. My consideration of this complaint is governed by the misconduct rules issued by the Judicial Conference of the United States, entitled *Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings* (the “JCD Rules”), the federal statutes addressing judicial conduct and disability, 28 U.S.C. § 351 *et seq.*, and relevant prior decisions of the full Judicial Council of this circuit that are consistent with those authorities.

The JCD Rules and this circuit’s local misconduct rules are available to complainants on the Tenth Circuit’s web page at: <http://www.ca10.uscourts.gov/ce/misconduct>. Paper copies are also furnished by the Circuit Executive’s Office upon request. In accordance with those rules, the names of the complainant and subject judges shall not be disclosed in this order. *See* JCD Rule 11(g)(2).

Complainant filed misconduct complaints against the district and magistrate judges associated with his case. He alleges the magistrate judge did not read his filings, improperly barred him from electronic filing, and improperly dismissed his cases. He surmises that the magistrate judge's rulings must be motivated by racism. Complainant alleges the district judge conspired with the magistrate judge to improperly sign off on the magistrate judge's orders.

Complainant's claims regarding the rulings against him are not cognizable as misconduct because they are "directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling." JCD Rule 11(c)(1)(B); *see also* Commentary to JCD Rule 3 (stating that "[a]ny allegation that calls into question the correctness of an official action of a judge—without more—is merits-related").

Complainant's claims of racism and conspiracy are also not cognizable. While allegations of conspiracy and bias can state valid claims for misconduct even when the allegations relate to a judge's ruling, *see* Commentary to JCD Rule 3, these claims fail because they are completely unsupported. The JCD Rules require complainants to support their allegations with "sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred." *See* JCD Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

Accordingly, this complaint is dismissed pursuant to JCD Rule 11(c). The Circuit Executive is directed to transmit this order to complainant and copies to the subject judges and the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability. *See* JCD Rule 11(g)(2). To seek review of this order, complainant must file a petition for review by the Judicial Council. The requirements for filing a petition for review are set

out in JCD Rule 18(b). The petition must be filed with the Office of the Circuit Executive within 42 days after the date of the chief judge's order. *Id.*

So ordered this 15th day of April, 2019.

Handwritten signature of Timothy M. Tymkovich in black ink.

Honorable Timothy M. Tymkovich
Chief Circuit Judge