## JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE TENTH CIRCUIT

## IN RE: COMPLAINT UNDER THE JUDICIAL CONDUCT AND DISABILITY ACT

Nos. 10-16-90003 & 10-16-90004

## Before **TYMKOVICH**, Chief Judge

## ORDER

Complainant has filed a complaint of judicial misconduct against a district and magistrate judge in this circuit. My consideration of this complaint is governed by the misconduct rules issued by the Judicial Conference of the United States, entitled *Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings* (the "JCD Rules"), the federal statutes addressing judicial conduct and disability, 28 U.S.C. § 351 *et seq.*, and relevant prior decisions of the full Judicial Council of this circuit that are consistent with those authorities.

The JCD Rules and this circuit's local misconduct rules are available to complainants on the Tenth Circuit's web page at: http://www.ca10.uscourts.gov/ ce/misconduct. Paper copies are also furnished by the Circuit Executive's Office upon request. In accordance with those rules, the names of the complainant and subject judges shall not be disclosed in this order. *See* JCD Rule 11(g)(2).

Complainant, a pro se litigant, filed a complaint against the district and magistrate judges presiding over his civil matter. Complainant contends the judges erroneously

found in favor of the opposing party with regard to whether service was proper and deprived him of an evidentiary hearing. These claims are not cognizable as misconduct because they are "directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling." JCD Rule 11(c)(1)(B); *see also* Commentary to JCD Rule 3 ("Any allegation that calls into question the correctness of an official action of a judge—without more—is merits-related").

Complainant also contends the district judge had a conflict of interest and both judges were protecting the opposing parties because they were members of the bar. Complainant adds that the judges ruled against him because he is a minority and not a member of the bar. While allegations of bias can state a valid claim for misconduct even when the alleged bias relates to a judge's ruling, *see* Commentary to JCD Rule 3, these claims fail because they are completely unsupported. The JCD Rules require complainants to support their allegations with "sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred." *See* JCD Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

Accordingly, this complaint is dismissed pursuant to JCD Rule 11(c). The Circuit Executive is directed to transmit this order to complainant and copies to the subject judges and the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability. *See* JCD Rule 11(g)(2). To seek review of this order, complainant must file a petition for review by the Judicial Council. The requirements for filing a petition for review are set out in JCD Rule 18(b). The petition must be filed with the Office of the Circuit Executive within 42 days after the date of the chief judge's order. *Id*.

2

So ordered this 1st day of April, 2016.

/s/ Timothy M. Tymkovich

Honorable Timothy M. Tymkovich Chief Circuit Judge