

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE
TENTH CIRCUIT**

IN RE: COMPLAINT UNDER THE
JUDICIAL CONDUCT AND
DISABILITY ACT

Nos. 10-12-90034 & 10-12-90035

Before **BRISCOE**, Chief Judge.

ORDER

Complainant has filed a complaint of judicial misconduct against a district judge and a magistrate judge in this circuit. My consideration of this complaint is governed by 1) the misconduct rules issued by the Judicial Conference of the United States, entitled *Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings* (the “Misconduct Rules”); 2) the federal statute dealing with judicial misconduct, 28 U.S.C. § 351 *et seq.*, and 3) the “Breyer Report,” a study by the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act Study Committee, headed by Supreme Court Justice Stephen Breyer, entitled *Implementation of the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980*. The Breyer Report may be found at: <http://www.supremecourt.gov/publicinfo/breyercommitteereport.pdf>. To the extent that there are any relevant prior decisions of the full Judicial Council of this circuit which are consistent with those authorities, they may also govern my consideration of this complaint.

Complainant has been provided with a copy of the Misconduct Rules, and the Rules are also accessible on the Tenth Circuit's web page at: <http://www.ca10.uscourts.gov/misconduct.php>. In accord with those rules, the names of the complainant and subject judges shall not be disclosed in this order. *See* Misconduct Rule 11(g)(2).

Complainant takes issue with rulings by the court on procedural motions and issues in an underlying case, contends that the court should not have ruled on certain motions, and asserts that parties in the underlying case should have been dismissed when they failed to file answers to the complaint. Complainant concludes that these arguments demonstrate a denial of due process. These claims are not cognizable as misconduct because they are “directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling.” Misconduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B). As explained in the Breyer Report, this exclusion of matters related to the merits of underlying cases protects the independence of the judges deciding those cases. *See* Breyer Report, App. E., ¶ 2.

The complaint also contains allegations that complainant did not receive copies of motions and notices from the court, and discusses at length various service of process issues in the underlying case, but fails to name or implicate the subject judges in these allegations. Finally, complainant contends that the court's rulings demonstrate bias. While allegations of bias can state a valid claim for misconduct even when related to a judge's ruling, *see* Commentary to Misconduct

Rule 3, this bias claim fails because it is completely unsupported. The Misconduct Rules require complainants to support their allegations with “sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred.” *See* Misconduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D). Contrary rulings, without more, do not demonstrate bias.

Accordingly, this complaint is dismissed pursuant to Misconduct Rule 11(c). The Circuit Executive is directed to transmit this order to complainant and copies to the subject judges and the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability. *See* Misconduct Rule 11(g)(2). To seek review of this order, complainant must file a petition for review by the Judicial Council. The requirements for filing a petition for review are set out in Misconduct Rule 18(b). The petition must be filed with the Office of the Circuit Executive within 35 days of the date of the letter transmitting this order. *Id.*

So ordered this 19th day of February, 2013.

/s/ Mary Beck Briscoe

Honorable Mary Beck Briscoe
Chief Circuit Judge