JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE TENTH CIRCUIT

IN RE: COMPLAINT UNDER THE JUDICIAL CONDUCT AND DISABILITY ACT

Nos. 10-12-90001 & 10-12-90002

Before BRISCOE, Chief Judge.

ORDER

Complainant has filed a complaint of judicial misconduct against a district judge and a magistrate judge in this circuit. My consideration of this complaint is governed by 1) the misconduct rules issued by the Judicial Conference of the United States, entitled Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings (the "Misconduct Rules"); 2) the federal statute dealing with judicial misconduct, 28 U.S.C. § 351 et seq., and 3) the "Breyer Report," a study by the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act Study Committee, headed by Supreme Court Justice Stephen Breyer, entitled Implementation of the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980. The Breyer Report may be found at: http://www.supreme court.gov/publicinfo/breyercommitteereport.pdf. To the extent that there are any relevant prior decisions of the full Judicial Council of this circuit which are consistent with those authorities, they may also govern my consideration of this complaint.

Complainant has been provided with a copy of the Misconduct Rules, and the Rules are also accessible on the Tenth Circuit's web page at: http://www.ca10.uscourts.gov/misconduct.php. In accord with those rules, the names of the complainant and subject judges shall not be disclosed in this order. *See* Misconduct Rule 11(g)(2).

Complainant's allegations are primarily aimed at prison officials with regard to the handling of prison legal mail. These procedures may not be used to complain about persons other than federal judges. *See* Misconduct Rule 4. Therefore, these allegations will not be considered here.

Those few allegations which seem to involve the subject judges fall into two categories. First, complainant takes issue with rulings by the subject judges, including those denying motions filed by complainant, and, ultimately, dismissal of complainant's underlying civil rights case. These claims are not cognizable as misconduct because they are "directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling." Misconduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B). As explained in the Breyer Report, this exclusion of matters related to the merits of underlying cases protects the independence of the judges deciding those cases. *See* Breyer Report, App. E., ¶ 2.

Second, complainant alleges that the subject judge "illegally" returned various motions to complainant, wrongly refused to hold hearings in the underlying case, and dismissed the case in retaliation for previous complaints.

While allegations of improper motive can state valid claims for misconduct even when the allegations relate to a judge's ruling, *see* Commentary to Misconduct Rule 3, these allegations fail because they are completely unsupported. The Misconduct Rules require complainants to support their allegations with "sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred." *See* Misconduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D). No such evidence has been offered here linking the judges with these wrongful motive allegations.

Accordingly, this complaint is dismissed pursuant to Misconduct Rule 11(c). The Circuit Executive is directed to transmit this order to complainant and copies to the subject judges and the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability. *See* Misconduct Rule 11(g)(2). To seek review of this order, complainant must file a petition for review by the Judicial Council. The requirements for filing a petition for review are set out in Misconduct Rule 18(b). The petition must be filed with the Office of the Circuit Executive within 35 days of the date of the letter transmitting this order. *Id*.

So ordered this 9th day of February, 2012.

/s/ Mary Beck Briscoe

Honorable Mary Beck Briscoe Chief Circuit Judge